Case 1:05-cv-01034-RMU Document 18-34 Filed 09/25/2007 Page 1 of 1 George Short

De	position of Conc	len:	selt George Short
	Page 93		Page 95
1	action as set forth in our previous letter are sustained. We	1	Protective Service?
2	are hereby advised you are hereby advised that you will be	2	A. Yes.
1	suspended from duty and pay from Tuesday, October the 22nd,	3	Q. Did you submit this to him on or about October the
1	2002, until Wednesday, November the 20th, 2002. You are to	4	23rd, 2002?
1	return to duty on Thursday, November the 21st, 2002. You have	5	•
	the right to appeal this action to the Merit Systems	6	
1	Protection Board or to grieve it under the Negotiated	7	
1	Grievances Procedures or both. Then it goes on. So what, if	8	
1	anything, did you do after you got this letter?	9	
10	A. Well, I didn't follow up on it, but I did contact	1 -	knowledge you had concerning your suspension was
1	the Merit System and but I didn't follow through on that.	11	
	Q. You didn't follow through on it with the	12	
12			
13	A. With the Merit, yeah, to file an appeal.	13	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
14	Q. Did you file a grievance?	14	,
15	A. No.	15	C = 3
16	Q. Did you try to go back to the Regional Director or	16	
	I'm sorry, the Director, Mr. Jordan?	17	
18	A. No, I didn't follow up on that.	18	
19	Q. And did you you said you didn't get the letter of	19	•
20	August the 29th. Did you try to go back and tell them you	20	·
21	didn't get the letter of August the 29th?	21	Q. Yes.
22	A. No, because no. No, I did not.	22	A. Yes, yes.
23	Q. Okay. You didn't say that I shouldn't be	23	Q. Okay.
24	MS. HARDNETT: Objection.	24	A. Yes.
25	MS. FIELDS: I'm asking	25	Q. Did you see the portions underneath your signature
	Page 94		Page 96
1	BY MS. FIELDS:	i i	after you signed it?
2	Q. You didn't tell them that you shouldn't be suspended	2	A. No oh, yes, yes, yes, yes, yes.
3	because you never got the letter of August the 29th?	3	
4	A. No.	4	A. Wait a minute now. I can't
5	Q. Okay. Did you ever try to get any reconsideration	5	MS. HARDNETT: I'm going to object. This question
1	by the Director of this decision reflected in the October	6	was already asked and already answered.
	15th, 2002 letter?	7	
8	A. No.	8	that.
9	Q. Okay.	9	
10	MS. FIELDS: Mark that for me, please.	10	
111	REPORTER: Number 16.	11	
12	(Whereupon, the document that was referred to as Exhibit	12	
	Number 16 was marked for identification.)	13	
14	BY MS. FIELDS:		answer.
	Q. Exhibit Number 16, do you recognize that document?	15	
15	A. Yes.	16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16		17	
17	Q. Is this a document prepared by you?		on there, I don't recall seeing anything underneath
18	A. Yes, um-hum.	1	
19	Q. It's dated October the 23rd, 2002?	19	
20	A. Right.	20	
21	Q. Did you prepare this document?	21	-
22	A. Yes.	22	
23	Q. And it's to Chief Earl Boyd		3 I didn't see it.
24	A. Boyd, right.	24	
25	Q B-O-Y-D, Office of the Director, Federal	25	5 document, did you see what was written in did there come a